From:

Patterson, Mark < M.Patterson@wellcome.ac.uk>

Sent:

Monday, March 05, 2012 3:27 PM

To:

Sequeira, Ed (NIH/NLM/NCBI) [E]; Lipman, David (NIH/NLM/NCBI) [E]

Cc:

Dodd, Melissa Re: eLife and PMC

Subject:

Hi Ed

Thanks for this. I can appreciate that you don't want to create a difficult precedent. I'll give this more thought. Perhaps we could have a short call tomorrow if you've got any time towards the beginning of your day. Are you free around 10am by any chance?

Cheers mark

On 05/03/2012 17:45, "Sequeira, Ed (NIH/NLM/NCBI) [E]" <sequeira@ncbi.nlm.nih.gov> wrote:

>Mark

>

>Just working through some possibilities ... thinking out loud ...

>The accepted manuscript route may get messy for us. We don't actually >release any 'accepted author manuscripts' in PMC / PubMed until there's >a final published version of the article in existence. We can't set a >precedent with eLife that we're then forced to follow in some other >situation.

>Could you give us this first batch of articles in final, edited form? >I'm talking about content, not necessarily formatting of a PDF. So >you'd include the plain language summaries, for instance. Then in the >short term, PMC will be where you publish your articles. (There's a >precedent for this. We have one journal whose articles are available >only through PMC, and a couple of others where we're the only online >source.)

>If, as you say in 1 and 2 below, you will have a journal information >site and a submission site before you send any articles to PMC, you >have the beginnings of a journal site, however rough it may be. From >our perspective, then, the journal is 'born' on the day the first >article is available in PMC. Your pre-launch site can have a list of >articles that have been published, even though you need to direct >people to PMC to read them.

>Fitting this into your timetable, we'll be making subtle but important >distinction between the launch of the journal, i.e., the first >scientific content, and the launch of an official journal site.

>What do you think?

```
>Ed
>
>----Original Message-----
>From: Patterson, Mark [mailto:M.Patterson@wellcome.ac.uk]
>Sent: Monday, March 05, 2012 10:49 AM
>To: Lipman, David (NIH/NLM/NCBI) [E]; Sequeira, Ed (NIH/NLM/NCBI) [E]
>Cc: Dodd, Melissa
>Subject: Re: eLife and PMC
>Many thanks Ed and David
>We'll work on citation formats, and get back to you on that. We are
>about to register with CrossRef for DOIs as well.
>The launch date for the eLife journal website is about the earliest we
>can make it happen - we're currently in the midst of a request for
>proposals, and will be selecting vendors within the next few weeks.
>But once the 'hosting' partner is selected we will have around 6 months
>of work to do to design and build the journal site, as well as to
>develop a content pipeline of research and non-research content.
>
>My estimate would be that the earliest we would be ready to start
>sending any accepted research articles to PMC would be June, but that
>would still be several months ahead of our journal launch in Oct/Nov
>(and therefore, advantageous). I agree that the publication date of
>any content that we release via PMC would be the date that the content appears in PMC.
>In terms of how to communicate all this relative to the 'launch' of
>eLife, I think we can view the launch of eLife as phases:
>1) we will shortly launch an information site about eLife - we will be
>encouraging prospective authors to contact us
>2) in a couple of months we'll launch a submission site for eLife -
>from here on we'll be issuing a call for submissions
>3) around June/July, we'll launch a service via PMC to publish
>selected author research manuscripts that have been accepted for eLife
>- the focus here will be on the quality of the science that's been
>accepted for eLife and published via PMC, ahead of the final phase of
>the launch in Oct/Nov
>4) and finally, in Oct/Nov we'll launch the dedicated eLife journal
>website for publishing research articles and related content - the big
>splash here will be about the completion of the eLife online journal,
>the quality of the science and the manner in which it is being
>presented and enhanced.
>The content that appears early in PMC will be a first version of the
>article (labelled 'author-accepted' version?), which will be replaced
>with a second version once the dedicated eLife website is launched.
>The second version will be edited and enhanced, for example with a
```

```
>non-technical summary - that's what will appear on the eLife journal
>site and then be deposited in PMC.
>Does that way of communicating/positioning what we're doing make sense
>with respect to PMC? I appreciate your willingness to break new ground
>here, and it will pay to carefully consider how best to communicate
>this initiative.
>
>Cheers
>Mark
>On 05/03/2012 14:11, "Lipman, David (NIH/NLM/NCBI) [E]"
>lipman@ncbi.nlm.nih.gov> wrote:
>>Ed -
>>In previous emails with Mark I made the point that we can't really
>>push this forward unless - in terms of the publication record - eLife
>>exists with the first article we get.
>>
>>d
>>
>>----Original Message----
>>From: Sequeira, Ed (NIH/NLM/NCBI) [E]
>>Sent: Monday, March 05, 2012 9:00 AM
>>To: Patterson, Mark
>>Cc: Lipman, David (NIH/NLM/NCBI) [E]; Dodd, Melissa
>>Subject: RE: eLife and PMC
>>
>>Hi Mark
>>I need to talk with our Library operations people to see what they
>>need, besides an ISSN, in order to create a catalogue record. I'll do
>>that today, but you may not hear back from me until next week because
>>I'll be away on leave for the rest of the week.
>>Another issue we'll have to deal with is how to cite these articles.
>>That means publication dates and some sort of unique article
>>identifier. Have you decided on any kind of article ID scheme beyond a
>>DOI; like the e-IDs in the PLoS journals for example?
>>Is the official launch being put off till year end primarily for
>>technical and publicity splash reasons, or is there something else?
>>Will it be ok if the release dates for this batch of early articles
>>are used as the official publication dates for the permanent record?
>>So in effect, in terms of the publication record, the journal will
>>exist as of the day the first article is released in PMC.
>>
```

>>Ed

```
>>
>>----Original Message----
>>From: Patterson, Mark [mailto:M.Patterson@wellcome.ac.uk]
>>Sent: Sunday, March 04, 2012 8:53 AM
>>To: Sequeira, Ed (NIH/NLM/NCBI) [E]
>>Cc: Lipman, David (NIH/NLM/NCBI) [E]; Dodd, Melissa
>>Subject: eLife and PMC
>>
>>Hi Ed
>>
>>I've had further discussion with David about using the PMC author
>>submission system to distribute accepted versions of eLife articles
>>before the launch of the journal around November this year.
>>
>>To enable this to happen, I understand that we have to have eLife
>>included in the NLM catalogue, which in turn requires an ISSN. I've
>>applied for an ISSN and will let you know as soon as this is available.
>>Meanwhile, can you let me know of any other information that you'll
>>need for the purposes of the catalogue?
>>I'm copying my colleague Melissa Dodd, who is eLife's Head of Production
 >>Operations, and will be coordinating the workflow from our end. It
 >>would be great to start work soon on the details of the workflow, so
 >>that we can iron out any difficulties ahead of the first articles,
 >>which won't be for a few months yet.
 >>Best wishes, and many thanks in advance for your help with this
 >>initiative.
 >>Mark
 >>
 >>Mark Patterson
 >>Managing Executive Editor, eLife
 >>Suite 22
 >>Sheraton House
 >>Castle Park
 >>Cambridge, UK
 >>CB3 0AX
 >>T: +44 1223 370155
 >>eLife Sciences Publications, Limited (UK company number FC030576) is
 >>an overseas company registered in the United Kingdom with a branch (UK
 >>establishment number BR015634) registered in the United Kingdom whose
 >>registered address is Suite 22, Sheraton House. Castle Park, Cambridge
  >>CB3 OAX.
  >>
  >>This message has been scanned for viruses by Websense Hosted Email
  >>Security - www.websense.com
```